need be to personnel assisting it in the investigation and prosecution of this matter, and to disclose those materials as necessary to comply with discovery and disclosure obligations in any prosecutions related to this matter.

V. Conclusion

30. Based on the foregoing, I respectfully request that the Court issue the warrant sought herein pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Stored Communications Act, 18 U.S.C. § 2703(b)(1)(A) (for contents) and § 2703(c)(1)(A) (for records and other information), and the relevant provisions of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 41.



Sworn to before me this 12th day of December, 2019

HONORABLE J. PAUL OETKEN

United States District Judge Southern District of New York

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In the Matter of a Warrant for All Content and Other Information Associated with the Email Accounts and Maintained at Premises Controlled by Google, LLC, USAO Reference No.

19 MAG 11651

SEARCH WARRANT AND NON-DISCLOSURE ORDER

TO: Google, LLC ("Provider")

Federal Bureau of Investigation and United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York

1. Warrant. Upon an affidavit of Special Agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and pursuant to the provisions of the Stored Communications Act, 18 U.S.C. § 2703(b)(1)(A) and § 2703(c)(1)(A), and the relevant provisions of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 41, the Court hereby finds there is probable cause to believe the email accounts maintained at premises controlled by Google, LLC, contain evidence, fruits, and instrumentalities of crime, all as specified in Attachment A hereto. Accordingly, the Provider is hereby directed to provide to the Investigative Agency, within 30 days of the date of service of this Warrant and Order, the records specified in Section II of Attachment A hereto, for subsequent review by law enforcement personnel as authorized in Section III of Attachment A. The Government is required to serve a copy of this Warrant and Order on the Provider within 14 days of the date of issuance. The Warrant and Order may be served via electronic transmission or any other means through which the Provider is capable of accepting service.

2. Non-Disclosure Order. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2705(b), the Court finds that there is

reason to believe that notification of the existence of this warrant will result in destruction of or

tampering with evidence, and/or tamping with potential witnesses, or otherwise will seriously

jeopardize an ongoing investigation. Accordingly, it is hereby ordered that the Provider shall not

disclose the existence of this Warrant and Order to the listed subscriber or to any other person,

including but not limited to a representative of the enterprise domain, for a period of one year from

the date of this Order, subject to extension upon application to the Court if necessary, except that

Provider may disclose this Warrant and Order to an attorney for Provider for the purpose of

receiving legal advice.

3. Sealing. It is further ordered that this Warrant and Order, and the Affidavit upon which

it was issued, be filed under seal, except that the Government may without further order of this

Court serve the Warrant and Order on the Provider; provide copies of the Affidavit or Warrant and

Order as need be to personnel assisting the Government in the investigation and prosecution of

this matter; and disclose these materials as necessary to comply with discovery and disclosure

obligations in any prosecutions related to this matter.

Dated: New York, New York

Date Issued

Time Issued

United States District Judge

Southern District of New York

Email Search Attachment A

I. Subject Accounts and Execution of Warrant

This warrant is directed to Google, LLC (the "Provider"), headquartered at 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, California 94043, and applies to all content and other information within the Provider's possession, custody, or control associated with the email accounts and the "Subject Accounts"). The Provider is directed to produce the information described below associated with the Subject Accounts, limited to content created, sent, or received on or after September 1, 2013 through the date of this warrant.

A law enforcement officer will serve this warrant by transmitting it via email or another appropriate manner to the Provider. The Provider is directed to produce to the law enforcement officer an electronic copy of the information specified in Section II below. Upon receipt of the production, law enforcement personnel will review the information for items falling within the categories specified in Section III below.

II. Information to be Produced by the Provider

To the extent within the Provider's possession, custody, or control, the Provider is directed to produce the following information associated with the Subject Accounts (subject to the time period limitation set forth above):

- a. *Email content*. All emails sent to or from, stored in draft form in, or otherwise associated with the Subject Accounts, including all message content, attachments, and header information (specifically including the source and destination addresses associated with each email, the date and time at which each email was sent, and the size and length of each email).
- b. Address book information. All address book, contact list, or similar information associated with the Subject Accounts.

- c. Subscriber and payment information. All subscriber and payment information regarding the Subject Accounts, including but not limited to name, username, address, telephone number, alternate email addresses, registration IP address, account creation date, account status, length of service, types of services utilized, means and source of payment, and payment history.
- d. Transactional records. All transactional records associated with the Subject Accounts, including any IP logs or other records of session times and durations.
- e. Google Drive Content. All Google Drive records associated with the Subject Accounts, including all documents and other records stored on the Google Drive accounts.
- f. Google Docs. All Google Docs records associated with the Subject Accounts, including all documents created or stored in Google Docs.
- g. Google Calendar. All calendar entries and records associated with the Subject Accounts.
 - h. Location History. All location records associated with the Subject Accounts.
- i. Information Regarding Linked Accounts, Including Accounts Linked by Cookie. Any information identifying accounts that are associated or connected to the Subject Accounts, including specifically by Cookie, email account, phone number, Google Account ID, Android ID, or other account or device identifier.
- j. Device Information. Any information identifying the device or devices used to access the Subject Accounts, including a device serial number, a GUID or Global Unique Identifier, a phone number, serial numbers, MAC addresses, Electronic Serial Numbers ("ESN"), Mobile Electronic Identity Numbers ("MEIN"), Mobile Equipment Identifiers ("MEID"), Mobile Identification Numbers ("MIN"), Subscriber Identity Modules ("SIM"), Mobile Subscriber Integrated Services Digital Network Number ("MSISDN"), International Mobile Subscriber

Identifiers ("IMSI"), or International Mobile Equipment Identities ("IMEI"), and any other information regarding the types of devices used to access the Subject Accounts;

- k. Android Services. All records relating to Android services associated with the Subject Accounts.
- 1. Preserved or backup records. Any preserved or backup copies of any of the foregoing categories of records, whether created in response to a preservation request issued pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2703(f) or otherwise.

III. Review of Information by the Government

Law enforcement personnel (who may include, in addition to law enforcement officers and agents, attorneys for the government, attorney support staff, agency personnel assisting the government in this investigation, and outside technical experts under government control) are authorized to review the records produced by the Provider in order to locate any evidence, fruits, and instrumentalities of violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1343 (wire fraud) and § 1349 (attempting and/or conspiring to commit wire fraud) (the "Subject Offenses"), including the following:

- a. Evidence relating to, including communications with, Rudolph Giuliani, and any actual or potential investors, members, or partners of Fraud Guarantee;
- b. Evidence relating to Fraud Guarantee's plans, finances, assets, and operations, or lack thereof, including any corporate books and records;
- c. Evidence relating to Fraud Guarantee's actual or prospective business relationships, including but not limited to business relationships with any insurance carriers;
- d. Evidence relating to false and fraudulent representations made to potential or actual investors, including drafts of any corporate documents and related materials;
- e. Evidence relating to Fraud Guarantee's members, officers, directors, investors, partners, employees, agents, consultants, affiliates, subsidiaries, and associates.

- f. Evidence relating to the nature and extent of Rudolph Giuliani's and work on behalf of Parnas, Correia, and/or Fraud Guarantee, or lack thereof, including any evidence of Giuliani's efforts to assist in the removal of Ambassador and whether or not such efforts benefited Fraud Guarantee;
- g. Evidence relating to any efforts by Parnas, Correia, their family members, or others associated with Fraud Guarantee in receiving, transferring, withdrawing, or otherwise using any monetary funds or instruments;
- h. Evidence relating to the use of monetary funds or instruments paid to Fraud Guarantee, Parnas, or Correia to make political contributions;
- i. Evidence of meetings between Parnas, Correia, Giuliani, and any actual or potential investors in Fraud Guarantee, including but not limited to travel records, and location and IP records;
- j. Evidence of the existence of email accounts, iCloud accounts, or electronic devices used by Parnas, Correia or others associated with Fraud Guarantee to communicate with actual or potential investors, or co-conspirators;
 - k. Passwords or other information needed to access user's online accounts.

Exhibit 1

AO 93 (SDNY Rev. 01/17) Search and Seizure Warrant

City and state:

New York, New York

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the .

Southern District of New York

	×				The State of the s	
*	In the Matter of the Search of (Briefly describe the property to be searched or identify the person by name and address)))) C	G	MAG		
	ntents of Four iCloud Accounts Currently Located ard Drive Containing the Results of A Prior iCloud Search Warrant					
•	SEARCH AND	SEIZURE V	WARI	RANT	M	
To:	Any authorized law enforcement officer	*				
	An application by a federal law enforcement of following person or property located in the the person or describe the property to be searched and give	Southern			t requests the search New York	
See A	Attachment A			ж		
to be seiz	The person or property to be searched, describe zeal):	d above, is belie	eved to	conceal (identify	the person or describe the prop	e
See A	ttachment A				*.	
See A		nony, establish p	probabl	e cause to searc	th and seize the person or ember 4, 2019	
	YOU ARE COMMANDED to execute this wa	rrant on or befor	re <u>·</u>		o exceed 14 days)	_
ৰ্যা		ny time in the da blished.	y or ni		sonable cause has been	
taken to	Unless delayed notice is authorized below, you the person from whom, or from whose premises here the property was taken.					
inventor	The officer executing this warrant, or an officer y as required by law and promptly return this wa Upon its return, this warrant and inventory shoul	arrant and invent d be filed under	tory to seal by	the Clerk of the the Clerk of th	Court. 170 e Court. USMJ Initials	
of trial),	I find that immediate notification may have an accordant authorize the officer executing this warrant for seized (check the appropriate box) I for 30	to delay notice t _ days (not to exc	to the p	érson whó, or w	hose property, will be	
	Ountil, the fa	ects justifying, th	he later	specific date of		
Date and	time issued: Oct. 21, 2019	1	10	MU		-
	10:38 a.m.	V.	·	Judge's signature		

J. Paul Oetken, United States District Judge

Printed name and title

AO 93 (SDNY Rev. 01/17) Search and Seizure Warrant (Page 2)

	Return	· 60
ase No.:	Date and time warrant executed:	Copy of warrant and inventory left with:
ventory made in the prese	nce of:	
ventory of the property ta	ken and name of any person(s) seized:	
	*	
		•
	*	
50		
		*
	4	
	*	
*		
20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Certification	
	y of perjury that this inventory is corr	ect and was returned along with the original warran
e Court.		9
:		
		Executing officer's signature
		*
	***************************************	Printed name and title

Attachment A

I. Device to be Searched

The device to be searched (the "Subject Device") is described as a hard drive containing the contents of the below four iCloud accounts, which were obtained pursuant to a search warrant authorized on or about May 16, 2019, by the Honorable Stewart Aaron, Magistrate Judge for the Southern District of New York, criminal number 19 Mag. 4784:

iCloud Account	Owner	Referred To As
	Lev Parnas	Subject Account-1
	Lev Parnas	Subject Account-2
	Igor Fruman	Subject Account-3
		Subject Account-4
·		(collectively, the "Subject Accounts")

II. Review of ESI on the Subject Device

contributions on behalf of a foreign principal.

Law enforcement personnel (including, in addition to law enforcement officers and agents, and depending on the nature of the ESI and the status of the investigation and related proceedings, attorneys for the government, attorney support staff, agency personnel assisting the government in this investigation, interpreters, and outside vendors or technical experts under government control) are authorized to review the ESI contained on the Subject Accounts for evidence, fruits, and instrumentalities of one or more violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1519 (fabrication of documents); 22 U.S.C. §§ 612 and 618 (failure to register as a foreign agent); 18 U.S.C. § 951 (acting as an agent of a foreign government); and 18 U.S.C. § 1343 (wire fraud) (together, the "Subject Offenses"), as listed below:

	Evidence related to any false statements or documents made or caused to be made Election Commission.
Secretary of	Evidence relating to the May 9, 2018 letter from Congressman State regarding U.S. Ambassador , including ce attaching or concerning the letter.
	Communications with individuals associated with the government or a political kraine, including , , , , or , .
	Communications regarding specifically or the position of U.S. o Ukraine generally.
	Evidence, including travel records, related to meetings with Ukrainian government ving Rudolph Giuliani, Parnas, or Fruman.
	Evidence of knowledge of the foreign agent registration laws and requirements, or including but not limited to knowledge of the requirement to register as an agent

of a foreign principal, or of the prohibition of acting on behalf of, lobbying for, or making

g. Evidence of the intent of Parnas, Igor Fruman, Andrey Kukushkin, Andrey Muraviev, Giuliani, and and and and a sit relates to the Subject Offenses under investigation.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Southern District of New York

In the Matter of the Search of

(Briefly describe the property to be searched or identify the person by name and address)

the Contents of Four iCloud Accounts Currently Located on a Hard Drive

19,MAG 9832

APPLICATION FOR A SEARCH AND SEIZURE WARRANT

I, a federa penalty of perjury property to be searche	that I have reas	on to believe that on	ney for the government, re- the following person or pro	quest a search war operty (identify the p	rant and state un erson or describe th	ider ie
See Attachme	nt A	×				
located in the	Southern	District of	New York	, there is now con	ncealed (identify th	he
person or describe the	property to be seize	ed):				
See Attached Affi	davit and its Att	achment A			*	
The basis f	for the search un	der Fed. R. Crim. P.	41(c) is (check one or more):			
闽 ev	idence of a crim	e;				
□ con	ntraband, fruits	of crime, or other ite	ms illegally possessed;			
□ pro	perty designed	for use, intended for	use, or used in committing	g a crime;		
. 🗖 ар	erson to be arre	sted or a person who	is unlawfully restrained.			9
The search	is related to a v	iolation of:				•
Code Section(s) Offense Description(s)						
See Attachment A			*			
			I			
The applica	tion is based on	these facts:				
See Attached	Affidavit and its	Attachment A				
M Continu	ed on the attach	ed sheet.		•		
			nding date if more than 30 is set forth on the attached) is requested	1
	0.0.0.0.30200	.,				
Sworn to before me	and signed in m	y presence.	·······································		1	
			311			
Date: 10/21/2	2019				:	
		¥3		Judge's signature	1	
City and state: New	York, New York	(n, United States D	istrict Judge	

19 MAG 9832

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In the Matter of the Application of the United States Of America for a Search Warrant for the Contents of Four iCloud Accounts Currently Located on a Hard Drive Containing the Results of A Prior iCloud Search Warrant, USAO Reference No

TO BE FILED UNDER SEAL

Agent Affidavit in Support of Application for a Search Warrant

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK) ss.:

, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

I. Introduction

A. Affiant

- 1. I am a Special Agent with the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI"). In the course of my experience and training in this position, I have participated in criminal investigations into federal offenses involving public corruption and violations of the federal campaign finance laws. I also have training and experience executing search warrants, including those involving electronic evidence, including emails.
- 2. I make this Affidavit in support of an application pursuant to Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure for a warrant to search four iCloud accounts on the electronic device specified below (the "Subject Device") for the items and information described in Attachment A. This affidavit is based upon my personal knowledge; my review of documents and other evidence; my conversations with other law enforcement personnel; and my training, experience and advice received concerning the use of computers in criminal activity and the forensic analysis of electronically stored information ("ESI"). Because this affidavit is being submitted for the limited purpose of establishing probable cause, it does not include all the facts that I have learned during the course of my investigation. Where the contents of documents and the actions, statements, and

conversations of others are reported herein, they are reported in substance and in part, except where otherwise indicated.

B. Prior Warrants and Subject Device

- 3. On or about January 18, 2019, the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York ("USAO") and FBI sought and obtained from the Honorable Sarah Netburn, Magistrate Judge for the Southern District of New York, a search warrant (the "January 18 Warrant"), criminal number 19 Mag. 729, for email accounts belonging to Lev Parnas, Igor Fruman, David Correia, and part of the Southern District of New York, a search warrant (the "January 18 Warrant"), criminal number 19 Mag. 729, for email accounts belonging to Lev Parnas, Igor
- 4. On or about October 17, 2019, the USAO sought and obtained from the Honorable J. Paul Oetken, United States District Judge for the Southern District of New York, a warrant, criminal number 19 Mag. 7595, for the January 18 Warrant returns. ¹
- 5. On or about May 16, 2019, the USAO and FBI sought and obtained from the Honorable Stewart Aaron, Magistrate Judge for the Southern District of New York, a search warrant (the "May 16 Warrant"), criminal number 19 Mag. 4784, for the following iCloud accounts:²

Pitman, Magistrate Judge for the Southern District of New York, to conduct an expanded search of the January 18 Warrant returns. Judge Pitman reviewed and approved the application, and both the affiant and Judge Pitman signed the affidavit in support of the application for a warrant, which was assigned docket number 19 Mag. 7595. However, at present, the Government is unable to locate a copy of the search warrant, which, to the extent it was presented to Judge Pitman, was not retained. Accordingly, on October 17, 2019, the USAO presented the signed copy of the 19 Mag. 7595 application to Judge Oetken, who, that same day, issued a new warrant authorizing the seizure of the same materials sought in the August 14 application. Moreover, no material identified herein was seized pursuant to the August 14 application. All of the material discussed herein that is attributed to the January 18 Warrant was seized and identified pursuant to that original judicial authorization.

² Based on my review of the iCloud account returns obtained pursuant to the May 16 Warrant, which is still ongoing, I understand that Parnas stored relevant text messages (including iMessages sent from an iPhone) and photos, among other materials, on Subject Account-1; that Parnas stored